

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,530	HELWIG, MICHELE M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(2) Mr. Darin Gibby.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2005

Time: =

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 30, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Lee (US 4,718,876); Elwell (US 3,298,132)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorized cancelation of claim 30 and amendments to claims 1, 31 that further set forth the nature of the invention. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)